## <span id="page-0-0"></span>Shape Optimization with Unfitted Finite Element Methods

### Jeremy T. Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

July 8, 2024



Jeremy Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

### Introduction

Allaire, Jouve, Toader, "Structural optimization using sensitivity analysis and a level-set method", Journal of Computational Physics, 2004





**Paganini**, Wechsung. "Fireshape: a shape optimization toolbox for Firedrake" from Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization Vol. 63, 2121



Jeremy Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

Consider the following linear elasticity problem:

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$
- $\blacksquare$   $\partial\Omega := \Gamma \cup \hat{\Gamma}$
- $\Gamma_D \cap \Gamma_N$ ,  $\hat{\Gamma}_D \cap \hat{\Gamma}_N = \emptyset$
- $\blacksquare$  u : displacement field
- $\blacksquare$   $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$  are Lamé parameters

$$
\blacksquare \epsilon(\nabla u) := [\nabla u + \nabla u^T]/2
$$

$$
\begin{cases}\n-Div(\sigma) = f & \text{in } \Omega \\
\sigma = 2\mu\epsilon(\nabla u) + \lambda tr(\epsilon(\nabla u))I & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D \cup \widehat{\Gamma}_D \\
\sigma \cdot \nu = g_N & \text{on } \Gamma_N \cup \widehat{\Gamma}_N\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1)

 $\hat{\Gamma}$  is the inactive boundary and  $\Gamma$  is the active boundary.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

han Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

### Domain



- **The design domain**  $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}$  **is the** dashed rectangular region. The fixed background mesh.
- Γ is the active boundary and is described by a levelset function.
- $\hat{\Gamma}$  is the inactive boundary and  $\hat{\Gamma} = \partial \widehat{\mathfrak{D}} \cap \partial \Omega$ .

We view [\(1\)](#page-2-0) as solving for the displacement of a cantilever beam.

つへへ

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

<span id="page-4-0"></span>We define the following linear and bilinear forms:

$$
\chi(\Omega; \mathbf{v}) := (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v})_{\Omega} + (\mathbf{g}_N, \mathbf{v})_{\Gamma_N \cup \hat{\Gamma}_N},
$$
  

$$
a(\Omega; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := 2\mu(\epsilon(\nabla \mathbf{u}), \epsilon(\nabla \mathbf{v}))_{\Omega} + \lambda(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})_{\Omega}.
$$

And we state the weak formulation of [\(1\)](#page-2-0):

Let  $V_D:=\{\bm{\nu}\in H^1(\Omega):\bm{\nu}|_{\Gamma_D\cup\hat{\Gamma}_D}=0\}$  and find  $\bm{\mu}\in V_D$  such that  $a(\Omega; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \chi(\Omega; \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in V_D(\Omega).$  (2)

 $\rightarrow$   $\equiv$   $\rightarrow$ 

Jeremy T. Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)



Let  $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_h$  be a conforming shape regular mesh of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}$  and we define the following Lagrange finite element space

$$
B_h = \{ \mathbf{v}_h \in C^0(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}) : \mathbf{v}_h | \tau \in \mathcal{P}_k(T), \ \forall T \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_h \}, \ \text{ for some } k \geq 1,
$$

where  $P_k(T)$  denotes the collection of polynomials of degree k on T. Additionally, we define a background finite element space with the built-in boundary conditions on  $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{D}}$ 

$$
\mathring{B}_h=B_h\cap\{\mathbf{v}\in H^1(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}):\mathbf{v}|_{\widehat{\mathsf{F}}_{\mathrm{D}}}=\mathbf{0}\}.
$$



an Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

### Levelset Formulation

 $\phi_h \in B_h$  a Lipschitz levelset function



$$
\mathbf{\Omega}_h := \{ \mathbf{x} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{D}} : \phi_h(\mathbf{x}) < 0 \}
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{\Gamma}_h := \{ \mathbf{x} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{D}} : \phi_h(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \}
$$



Figure: Interface (black) and shell region.

> E  $\vert \cdot \vert$  $\rightarrow$   $\equiv$   $\rightarrow$

 $290$ 

Þ

Jeremy T. Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

### Subdomains Definitions





(a)  $\Omega_h$  in red and the active mesh  $\mathcal{T}_{h,\delta}$  (b) We define the shell region  $\Sigma^\pm_{h,\delta}$  in depicted by the collection of elements. red above.



(c) The selection of elements,  $\mathcal{T}_{\bar{y}\pm}$ , around the shell region  $\Sigma^\pm_{h,\delta}.$ 

(d) We have the facet selection  $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma^{\pm}}$ depicted by the above.

 $\left\{ \left\vert \left\langle \left\langle \left\langle \mathbf{q} \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\vert \left\langle \mathbf{q} \right\rangle \right\vert \left\langle \mathbf{q} \right\rangle \right\vert \left\langle \mathbf{q} \right\rangle \right\}$ 



Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

### Stabilization Forms

We define the stabilized Nitsche Form:

$$
a_h(\Omega_h; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := a(\Omega_h; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) - (\sigma(\mathbf{u})\nu, \mathbf{v})_{\Gamma_{h,D}} - (\mathbf{u}, \sigma(\mathbf{v})\nu)_{\Gamma_{h,D}} + \gamma_D h^{-1} b(\Omega_h; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + \gamma_N h(\sigma(\mathbf{u})\nu, \sigma(\mathbf{v})\nu)_{\Gamma_{h,N}}, b(\Omega_h; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := 2\mu(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_{\Gamma_{h,D}} + \lambda(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nu, \mathbf{v} \cdot \nu)_{\Gamma_{h,D}}, \chi_h(\Omega_h; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := \chi(\Omega_h; \mathbf{v}) + \gamma_N h(\mathbf{g}_N, \sigma(\mathbf{v})\nu)_{\Gamma_{h,N}}, \blacksquare \text{ where } \gamma_D > 0 \text{ and } \gamma_N \ge 0 \text{ (we choose } \gamma_N = 0).
$$



Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

### Stabilization Forms

We define the stabilized Nitsche Form:

$$
a_h(\Omega_h; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := a(\Omega_h; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) - (\sigma(\mathbf{u})\nu, \mathbf{v})_{\Gamma_{h,D}} - (\mathbf{u}, \sigma(\mathbf{v})\nu)_{\Gamma_{h,D}} + \gamma_D h^{-1} b(\Omega_h; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + \gamma_N h(\sigma(\mathbf{u})\nu, \sigma(\mathbf{v})\nu)_{\Gamma_{h,N}}, b(\Omega_h; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := 2\mu(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_{\Gamma_{h,D}} + \lambda(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nu, \mathbf{v} \cdot \nu)_{\Gamma_{h,D}}, \chi_h(\Omega_h; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := \chi(\Omega_h; \mathbf{v}) + \gamma_N h(\mathbf{g}_N, \sigma(\mathbf{v})\nu)_{\Gamma_{h,N}}, \blacksquare \text{ where } \gamma_D > 0 \text{ and } \gamma_N \ge 0 \text{ (we choose } \gamma_N = 0).
$$

And we define the Facet stabilization form:

$$
s_{h,\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}):=h^{-2}\int_{\omega_{\mathcal{F}}}(\boldsymbol{u}_1-\boldsymbol{u}_2)\cdot(\boldsymbol{v}_1-\boldsymbol{v}_2)d\boldsymbol{x}.
$$

 $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i$  are the canonical extensions of  $\bm{u}_i|_{\mathcal{T}_i}$  and  $\bm{\mathsf{v}}_i|_{\mathcal{T}_i}$ 

アメメ ミメメ ミメ

 $QQ$ 

∍

 $\blacksquare$  F :=  $T_1 \cap T_2$ 

 $T_1$  and  $T_2$  neighboring elements

$$
\blacksquare \omega_F := T_1 \cup T_2
$$

Advisor: Charles M.C. W

### Unfitted Finite Element Scheme

With this, we define the restricted finite element space on  $\mathcal{T}_{\delta}$ :

$$
V_h \equiv V_h(\Omega_h) = \{ \mathbf{v}_h \in C^0(\mathfrak{D}_{h,\delta}) : \mathbf{v}_h = \hat{\mathbf{v}}_h |_{\mathfrak{D}_{h,\delta}}, \text{ for some } \hat{\mathbf{v}}_h \in \mathring{B}_h \}.
$$

We define a new bilinear form including the stabilization forms:

$$
A_h(\Omega; \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) := a_h(\Omega; \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) + \gamma_s s_h \Big( \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma_{\delta, D}^{\pm}}; \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \Big) + \gamma_s h^2 s_h \Big( \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma_{\delta, N}^{\pm}}; \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \Big).
$$

### Full Scheme: Find  $u_h \in V_h(\Omega_h)$  such that

<span id="page-10-0"></span> $A_h(\Omega_h; \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) = \chi_h(\Omega_h; \mathbf{v}_h) \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in V_h(\Omega_h).$  (3)

See also [Hansbo, Larson, Larsson] "Cut Finite Element Methods for Linear Elasticity Problems", 2017.

Jeremy T. Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

We focus on minimizing the compliance. Compliance measures the internal elastic energy and is defined as

$$
J(\Omega; \mathbf{v}) := \chi(\Omega; \mathbf{v}) + a_0 |\Omega|, \qquad a_0 > 0. \tag{4}
$$

We state our minimization problem:

$$
J(\Omega_{h,min};\boldsymbol{u}_h(\Omega_{h,min})) = \min_{\forall \Omega_h \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ s.t. } \boldsymbol{v}_h \text{ solves (3)}} J(\Omega_h; \boldsymbol{v}_h).
$$
 (5)

 $\blacksquare$  A is the set of admissible shapes and set of damissimore  $\blacksquare$   $\Omega_h$  is the discrete domain

<span id="page-11-0"></span> $\leftarrow \equiv$   $\rightarrow$ 



In order to free the PDE constraint of our minimization problem [\(5\)](#page-11-0), we introduce a Lagrangian

$$
L(\Omega_h; \mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{q}_h) := J(\Omega_h; \mathbf{v}_h) - A_h(\Omega_h; \mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{q}_h) + \chi_h(\Omega_h; \mathbf{q}_h),
$$
  

$$
L(\overline{\Omega}_h; \overline{\mathbf{u}}_h, \overline{\mathbf{p}}_h) = \min_{\forall \Omega_h \in \mathcal{A}, \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in V_h(\Omega_h)} \max_{\forall \mathbf{q}_h \in V_h(\Omega_h)} L(\Omega_h; \mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{q}_h),
$$

which leads to the first order conditions:

$$
\delta_{q_h} L(\overline{\Omega}_h; \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_h, \overline{\boldsymbol{p}}_h)(\boldsymbol{v}_h) = 0, \qquad \qquad \delta_{\boldsymbol{v}_h} L(\overline{\Omega}_h; \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_h, \overline{\boldsymbol{p}}_h)(\boldsymbol{w}_h) = 0,
$$

which implies that  $\overline{\bm{u}}_h$  and  $\overline{\bm{p}}_h$  solve the variational problems

$$
A_h(\overline{\Omega}_h; \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_h, \boldsymbol{v}_h) = \chi_h(\overline{\Omega}_h; \boldsymbol{v}_h) \qquad \forall \boldsymbol{v}_h \in V_h(\overline{\Omega}_h),
$$
  

$$
A_h(\overline{\Omega}_h; \boldsymbol{w}_h, \overline{\boldsymbol{p}}_h) = \delta_{\boldsymbol{v}_h} J(\overline{\Omega}_h; \boldsymbol{v}_h) (\boldsymbol{w}_h) \qquad \forall \boldsymbol{w}_h \in V_h(\overline{\Omega}_h).
$$

Jeremy T. Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

### Fréchet Differentiability of Shape Functionals

We also note that we have the shape optimality condition:

$$
L'(\overline{\Omega}_h;\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_h,\overline{\boldsymbol{p}}_h)({\boldsymbol{Y}})=0\quad\forall\,{\boldsymbol{Y}}\in [W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)]^d.
$$

Given some bulk shape functional  $J(\Omega)$ , we first define the perturbation of the identity as follows:

$$
\Phi_{\boldsymbol{U}}(\mathbf{x}) := I(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{U}(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \Omega_{\boldsymbol{U}} := \Phi_{\boldsymbol{U}}(\Omega),
$$

for some vector field  $U$ . And now if there exists an operator, say  $J'(\Omega)(\bm{U})\in \mathcal{L}([W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)]^d,\mathbb{R}),$  such that

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{\|\boldsymbol{U}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}\to 0}\frac{|J(\Omega\boldsymbol{U})-J(\Omega)-J'(\Omega)(\boldsymbol{U})|}{\|\boldsymbol{U}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}}=0,
$$
 (6)

then  $J(\Omega)$  is Fréchet differentiable with Fréchet derivative  $J'(\Omega)(\boldsymbol{U}).$ Jeremy T. Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

### Fréchet Shape Derivative Lemmas

We use the following Lemmas from [Delfour, Zolesio] "Shapes and Geometries" and [Henrot, Pierre] "Shape Variation and Optimization".

### Lemma (1)

Given 
$$
f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)
$$
 and  $U \in [W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)]^d$  we have that  
\n
$$
\lim_{\|U\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \to 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} [f(\Phi_U(a)) - f(a)] (\nabla_a U(a)) da}{\|U\|_{W^{1,\infty}}} = 0
$$

### Lemma (2)

Given 
$$
f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)
$$
 and  $U \in [W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)]^d$  we have that  
\n
$$
\lim_{\|U\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \to 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} f(\Phi_{U}(a)) - f(a)da - \int_{\Omega} \nabla f(a) \cdot U(a)da}{\|U\|_{W^{1,\infty}}} = 0.
$$

ш

 $\Omega$ 

化重新化重新

Jeremy T. Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

## Fréchet Shape Derivative

We have the following theorem due to [Delfour, Zolesio] "Shapes and Geometries" and [Henrot, Pierre] "Shape Variation and Optimization".

#### Theorem

Let  $f(x)$  be defined on  $\widehat{D}$  and independent of the shape  $\Omega$ . Then for the shape functional  $\mathsf{J}(\Omega):=\int_\Omega f(\textbf{x})d\textbf{x}$  with  $f\in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we have that  $J(\Omega)$  is shape differentiable at  $\Omega$  (in the sense of [\(6\)](#page-13-0)) with Fréchet derivative

$$
J'(\Omega)(\boldsymbol{U})=\int_{\partial\Omega}f(\boldsymbol{a})\boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{a})\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{a})d\boldsymbol{a}
$$

for all  $\boldsymbol{U} \in [W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)]^d$  .

The proof of which follows from the previous lemmas, a classical determinant expansion, and the divergence theorem.



Jeremy Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

## Discretize-then-Optimize VS Optimize-then-Discretize

**Optimize-then-Discretize:** One first derives the optimality conditions for the continuous problem and then discretizes while still using the optimality conditions for the continuous problem.

Discretize-then-Optimize: One first discretizes the problem and then computes the optimality conditions for the discrete problem exactly.

### Our Contributions:

- Shape derivative of bulk functionals can be computed exactly for discretize unfitted finite element formulations.
- Discrete shape derivative formula agrees with the optimality conditions for the continuous problem.
- We gain benefits from both methods.



 $\epsilon = 1$ 

Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

### Shape Derivative on a Cut Element

Let  $f(x)$  be defined on  $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}$  and independent of the shape  $\Omega$  and define the shape functional restricted to an element T

$$
J_{\mathcal{T}}(\Omega):=\int_{\Omega\cap\mathcal{T}}f(\boldsymbol{x})d\boldsymbol{x}.
$$



We show that we can obtain the exact shape derivative of  $J_{\mathcal{T}}(\Omega)$ , so long as the following assumption holds:

#### Assumption (1)

<span id="page-17-0"></span>Assume that  $\partial \Omega \cap \partial T$  has vanishing  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$  Lebesgue measure.



Jeremy Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

#### Theorem

Given the shape functional  $J_T(\Omega) := \int_{\Omega \cap T} f(x) dx$  with  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we have that  $J_T(\Omega)$  is shape differentiable at  $\Omega$  (in the sense of Definition  $(6)$ ) with Fréchet derivative

$$
J'_{\mathcal{T}}(\Omega)(\boldsymbol{U})=\int_{\partial\Omega\cap\mathcal{T}}f(\boldsymbol{a})\boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{a})\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{a})dS(\boldsymbol{a})
$$

for all  $\boldsymbol{U} \in [W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)]^d$ , provided assumption  $(1)$  holds.

We sketch part of the proof.

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

Jeremy Advisor: Shawn W. Walker [Shape Optimization with Unfitted Finite Element Methods](#page-0-0) We prove a generalization of Lemma 2, where we show

$$
\lim_{\|\boldsymbol{U}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}\to 0}\frac{\int_{\Omega\cap\mathcal{T}}f(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{U}}(\boldsymbol{a}))-f(\boldsymbol{a})d\boldsymbol{a}-\int_{\Omega\cap\mathcal{T}}\nabla f(\boldsymbol{a})\cdot\boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{a})d\boldsymbol{a}}{\|\boldsymbol{U}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}}=0.
$$

To deal with this restriction to  $Q \cap T$  we use a smooth approximation,  $\rho_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{a})$ , of the characteristic function  $\chi_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{a})$  and consider

$$
\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\int_{\Omega}f(\Phi_{\boldsymbol{U}}(\boldsymbol{a}))\rho_\epsilon(\Phi_{\boldsymbol{U}}(\boldsymbol{a})) - f(\boldsymbol{a})\rho_\epsilon(\boldsymbol{a})d\boldsymbol{a} - \int_{\Omega}\nabla[f(\boldsymbol{a})\rho_\epsilon(\boldsymbol{a})]\cdot\boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{a})d\boldsymbol{a}}{\|\boldsymbol{U}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}}.
$$

 $\triangleright$   $\rightarrow$   $\exists$   $\rightarrow$ 

Jeremy Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

$$
\int_{\Omega} f(\Phi_{U}(a)) \rho_{\epsilon}(\Phi_{U}(a)) - f(a) \rho_{\epsilon}(a) da - \int_{\Omega} \nabla[f(a) \rho_{\epsilon}(a)] \cdot U(a) da
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \left[ \nabla \left[ f(\Phi_{sU}(a)) \rho_{\epsilon}(\Phi_{sU}(a)) \right] - \nabla [f(a) \rho_{\epsilon}(a)] \right] \cdot U(a) ds da \qquad (MVT)
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \left[ \nabla \left[ f(\Phi_{sU}(a)) \rho_{\epsilon}(\Phi_{sU}(a)) \right] - \nabla [f(\Phi_{sU}(a)) \rho_{\epsilon}(a)] \right] \cdot U(a) ds da
$$
\n
$$
+ ...
$$

 $\leftarrow$   $\Box$   $\rightarrow$ 

+ ⊕ →

지금에서 돈이

 $299$ 

重

Jeremy T. Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

We expand  $I_{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{U})$  as follows:

$$
I_{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{U}) = \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \left[ \nabla \left[ f(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{s} \boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{a})) \rho_{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{s} \boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{a})) \right] - \nabla \left[ f(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{s} \boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{a})) \rho_{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{a}) \right] \right] \cdot \boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{a}) d s d \boldsymbol{a}
$$

$$
= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \left[ f(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{s} \boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{a})) \left( \rho_{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{s} \boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{a})) - \rho_{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{a}) \right) \boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{a}) \right] d \boldsymbol{a} d s + \dots
$$

Other terms are omitted as they use similar techniques.

 $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ つへへ Jeremy Advisor: Shawn W. Walker Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT) [Shape Optimization with Unfitted Finite Element Methods](#page-0-0)

$$
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} A_{\epsilon} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot [f(\Phi_{s} \mathbf{u}(a)) (\rho_{\epsilon}(\Phi_{s} \mathbf{u}(a)) - \rho_{\epsilon}(a)) \mathbf{U}(a)] \, dads
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\partial \Omega} f(\Phi_{s} \mathbf{u}(a)) [\rho_{\epsilon}(\Phi_{s} \mathbf{u}(a)) - \rho_{\epsilon}(a)] \mathbf{U}(a) \cdot \mathbf{\nu} dads
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\partial \Omega} f(\Phi_{s} \mathbf{u}(a)) [\chi_{\mathcal{T}}(\Phi_{s} \mathbf{u}(a)) - \chi_{\mathcal{T}}(a)] \mathbf{U}(a) \cdot \mathbf{\nu} dads
$$

$$
\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{A_\epsilon}{\|\mathbf{U}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}}\leq \int_0^1\int_{\partial\Omega}|f(\Phi_{s\boldsymbol{U}}(\boldsymbol{a}))|\cdot |\chi_{\mathcal{T}}(\Phi_{s\boldsymbol{U}}(\boldsymbol{a}))-\chi_{\mathcal{T}}(\boldsymbol{a})|\,d\boldsymbol{a}d\boldsymbol{s}
$$

 $299$ 

重

メロトメ 御 トメ ミトメ ミト

Jeremy T. Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

We add and subtract a smooth function  $f_k$  having the property  $\lim_{k \to \infty} ||f - f_k||_{W^{1,1}} = 0$ 

$$
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{A_{\epsilon}}{\|\mathbf{U}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}} \leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\partial \Omega} |(f - f_{k}) \circ (\Phi_{s}\mathbf{U}(a))| \cdot |\chi_{\mathcal{T}}(\Phi_{s}\mathbf{U}(a)) - \chi_{\mathcal{T}}(a)| \, dads
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\partial \Omega} |f_{k}(\Phi_{s}\mathbf{U}(a))| \cdot |\chi_{\mathcal{T}}(\Phi_{s}\mathbf{U}(a)) - \chi_{\mathcal{T}}(a)| \, dads
$$
\n
$$
\leq C||f - f_{k}||_{W^{1,1}} + C_{k} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\partial \Omega} |\chi_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}}(a) - \chi_{\mathcal{T}}(a)| \, dads,
$$

where 
$$
\tilde{\mathcal{T}}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{s\boldsymbol{U}}^{-1}(\mathcal{T})
$$
 and note that  $\big|\chi_{\boldsymbol{\tilde{T}}}(\boldsymbol{a})-\chi_{\mathcal{T}}(\boldsymbol{a})\big|=\chi_{\boldsymbol{\tilde{T}}\triangle\mathcal{T}}.$ 

つへへ

 $\rightarrow$   $\rightarrow$   $\equiv$   $\rightarrow$ 

ahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

Let  $||\boldsymbol{U}||_{I^{\infty}} = \delta$ . For  $x \in \tilde{T} \triangle T := (\tilde{T} \setminus T) \cup (T \setminus \tilde{T})$  and notice that we have,



**Note:** The line segment between  $x + U(x)$  and x must intersect  $\partial T$ and the length of the line is bounded by  $\delta$  hence  $dist(x, \partial T) \leq \delta$  for  $x \in \tilde{T} \triangle T$ .

Jeremy Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

So let  $\mathcal{O}_{\delta}(\partial T)$  be the  $\delta$  neighborhood of  $\partial T$ , and so we have the following:

$$
\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{A_\epsilon}{\|\mathbf{U}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}}\leq C||f-f_k||_{W^{1,1}}+C_k\int_{\partial\Omega\cap\mathcal{O}_\delta(\partial\mathcal{T})}1d\mathbf{a}.
$$

Hence as  $||\boldsymbol{U}||_{W^{1,\infty}} \to 0$  we have that  $\delta \to 0$  and we get

$$
\lim_{\|{\boldsymbol{U}}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}\to 0}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{A_\epsilon}{\|{\boldsymbol{U}}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}}=C||{\boldsymbol{f}}-{\boldsymbol{f}}_k||_{W^{1,1}}+C_k\int_{\partial\Omega\cap\partial\mathcal{T}}1d{\boldsymbol{a}}.
$$

 $\rightarrow$   $\equiv$ 

And this tends towards 0 as  $k \to \infty$  so long as assumption [\(1\)](#page-17-0) holds.

an Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

We consider the following ODE to update the domain:

$$
\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad \forall t > 0, \qquad \mathbf{x}(0) = a \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

Given a level set function  $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ , we define a perturbation of the level set function as follows

$$
\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x},t) = \phi(\mathbf{x}) + t\eta(\mathbf{x}) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \partial_t \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) = \eta(\mathbf{x}).
$$

Define  $\Omega_t:=\{\bm{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d: \tilde{\phi}(\bm{x},t)<0\}$  and define a velocity field

$$
\boldsymbol{V}(\boldsymbol{x},t)=-\frac{\nabla \tilde{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x},t)}{|\nabla \tilde{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x},t)|^2}\eta(\boldsymbol{x}).
$$

Advisor: Charm M. M. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

### Connection to Level Set Formulation

$$
\boldsymbol{V}(\boldsymbol{x},t)=-\frac{\nabla \tilde{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x},t)}{|\nabla \tilde{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x},t)|^2}\eta(\boldsymbol{x}).
$$

Notice 
$$
\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) = 0
$$
 for all  $t$  for every  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{x}(0) \in \Gamma_0$ .



$$
\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}(t),t) = \partial_t \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x},t)\Big|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}(t)} + \left(\nabla \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x},t)\Big|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}(t)}\right) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) \n= \eta(\mathbf{x}(t)) + \nabla \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}(t),t) \cdot \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}(t),t) = 0.
$$

Hence this choice of  $V$  evolves the zero level set.



K 등 > K 등 >

Jeremy T. Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

### Connection to Level Set Formulation

#### Theorem

Assume  $\phi \in \mathcal{W}^{2,\infty}(\mathbb R^d)$  such that  $1 \sim |\nabla \phi| \geq \mathit{c} > 0$  in some δ-neighborhood of Γ. Let  $\Omega(\phi + \eta)$  be the sub-zero level set of  $\phi + \eta$ . For the shape functional  $J_{\mathcal{T}}(\Omega) := \int_{\Omega \cap \mathcal{T}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$  with  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we have that  $J_T(\Omega)$  is level set shape differentiable at  $\Omega$  with Fréchet derivative

$$
J'_{\mathcal{T}}(\Omega)(\eta) = \int_{\partial \Omega \cap \mathcal{T}} f(\boldsymbol{a}) \left( -\eta |\nabla \phi(\boldsymbol{a})|^{-1} \right) d\boldsymbol{a}
$$

for all  $\eta \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

In particular, given  $\bm{U}=-\frac{\nabla\tilde{\phi}}{\nabla\tilde{\phi}}$  $\frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \tilde \phi|^2} \eta$  we have  $J'_{\mathcal T}(\Omega)(\eta) = J'_{\mathcal T}(\Omega)(\boldsymbol{U}).$ Additionally, given  $f(x) \in B_h$ ,

$$
J'(\Omega)(\eta) = \sum_{\forall T \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_h} J'_T(\Omega)(\eta) \quad \forall \eta \in B_h.
$$



Jeremy Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

We make the following assumptions:

\n
$$
\begin{aligned}\n \mathbf{F} &= \hat{\Gamma}_D \cup \hat{\Gamma}_N \text{ is fixed} \\
 \mathbf{F} &= \emptyset\n \end{aligned}
$$
\n

\n\n
$$
\mathbf{F} = 0
$$
\n

\n\n
$$
\mathbf{g} \neq 0 \text{ on a subset of } \hat{\Gamma}_N
$$
\n

We compute the shape derivatives:

$$
A'_{h}(\Omega_{h}(\phi_{h}); \mathbf{u}_{h}, \mathbf{v}_{h})(\eta_{h}) = \int_{\Gamma_{h}} (2\mu \epsilon (\nabla \mathbf{u}_{h}) : \epsilon (\nabla \mathbf{v}_{h}) + \lambda (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{h})(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{h})) \frac{\eta_{h}}{|\nabla \phi_{h}|}
$$
  

$$
\chi'_{h}(\Omega_{h}(\phi_{h}); \mathbf{v}_{h})(\eta_{h}) = 0 \qquad J'(\Omega_{h}(\phi_{h}); \mathbf{v}_{h})(\eta_{h}) = a_{0} \int_{\Gamma_{h}} \frac{\eta_{h}}{|\nabla \phi_{h}|}
$$
  

$$
L'(\Omega_{h}(\phi_{h}); \mathbf{u}_{h}, \mathbf{u}_{h})(\eta_{h}) = \int_{\Gamma_{h}} (2\mu |\epsilon (\nabla \mathbf{u}_{h})|^{2} + \lambda |\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{h}|^{2} + a_{0}) \frac{\eta_{h}}{|\nabla \phi_{h}|}
$$
  
For all  $\mathbf{u}_{h}, \mathbf{v}_{h} \in V_{h}(\Omega_{h})$  and all admissible  $\eta_{h} \in B_{h}$ .

Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

The exact shape derivative of our discrete functional is

$$
\mathcal{J}'(\phi_h)(\eta_h) = \int_{\Gamma_h(\phi_h)} (2\mu |\epsilon(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_h)|^2 + \lambda |\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_h|^2 + a_0) \frac{\eta_h}{|\nabla \phi_h|},
$$

where  $u_h$  solves [\(3\)](#page-10-0).

In order to compute the descent direction, we find  $\delta\phi_h \in B_h$  such that

$$
(\delta \phi_h, \eta_h)_{H^1(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}})} = -\mathcal{J}'(\phi_h)(\eta_h) \quad \forall \eta_h \in B_h.
$$

一本 三 下

an Advisor: Shawn W. Walker



Given initial shape Ω, we define the stabilization region.

Then solve for  $\overline{\bm{u}}_h$  and  $\overline{\bm{p}}_h$  from

$$
A_h(\Omega; \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_h, \boldsymbol{v}_h) = \chi_h(\Omega; \boldsymbol{v}_h) \qquad \forall \boldsymbol{v}_h \in V_h(\Omega),
$$
  

$$
A_h(\Omega; \boldsymbol{w}_h, \overline{\boldsymbol{p}}_h) = \delta_{\boldsymbol{v}_h} J(\Omega; \boldsymbol{v}_h) (\boldsymbol{w}_h) \qquad \forall \boldsymbol{w}_h \in V_h(\Omega).
$$

 $A_h$  is self-adjoint due to our choice of  $J(\Omega; \bm{\nu}_h),$  hence  $\overline{\bm{\nu}}_h = \overline{\bm{\rho}}_h.$ 



Þ

Advisor: Charles M. W. Walk

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

### Then compute the descent direction: Find  $\delta\phi_h \in B_h$  such that

$$
(\delta \phi_h, \eta_h)_{H^1(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}})} = -\mathcal{J}'(\phi_h)(\eta_h) \quad \forall \eta_h \in B_h.
$$



Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

Then compute the descent direction: Find  $\delta\phi_h \in B_h$  such that

$$
(\delta \phi_h, \eta_h)_{H^1(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}})} = -\mathcal{J}'(\phi_h)(\eta_h) \quad \forall \eta_h \in B_h.
$$

Update  $\phi_h \leftarrow \phi_h + \alpha \delta \phi_h$  where  $\alpha > 0$  is determined through a backtracking line search.

**Note:** the stabilization region remains **unchanged** until after  $\alpha$  is determined.



Jeremy T. Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

Then compute the descent direction: Find  $\delta\phi_h \in B_h$  such that

$$
(\delta \phi_h, \eta_h)_{H^1(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}})} = -\mathcal{J}'(\phi_h)(\eta_h) \quad \forall \eta_h \in B_h.
$$

Update  $\phi_h \leftarrow \phi_h + \alpha \delta \phi_h$  where  $\alpha > 0$  is determined through a backtracking line search.

**Note:** the stabilization region remains **unchanged** until after  $\alpha$  is determined.

Repeat until stopping criteria is met.



Jeremy T. Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

# <span id="page-35-0"></span>Cantilever with 11 holes,  $g_N = (0, -1)$  on far right



We start with an initial shape (top) having 11 holes spaced evenly and we do not update the levelset function along the boundary so that it retains its rectangular shape.

The resulting image (bottom) is shown.



 $\rightarrow$   $\rightarrow$   $\equiv$ 

Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

## <span id="page-36-0"></span>Cantilever with 4 holes,  $g_N = (0, -1)$  on far right



This time we allow the levelset function to be updated on a portion of the boundary, but still restrict the levelset on the boundary depicted in black here:



The initial guess (top) and resulting shape (bottom) ar[e d](#page-35-0)[isp](#page-37-0)[l](#page-35-0)[ay](#page-36-0)[e](#page-37-0)[d.](#page-0-0)  $QQ$ 

#### Jeremy Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

# <span id="page-37-0"></span>Cantilever with 12 holes,  $g_N = (0, -1)$  on far right



We again restrict the update of the levelset on the boundary depicted in black here:



We produce qualitative results similar to that of [Burman, et al] "Shape Optimization using the Cut Finite Element M[eth](#page-36-0)[o](#page-38-0)[d"](#page-36-0)[,](#page-37-0) [2](#page-38-0)[01](#page-0-0)[8.](#page-41-0)

つへへ

Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

### <span id="page-38-0"></span>Shape Derivative Test

We test our shape derivative formula using some arbitrary perturbation with step size  $\epsilon$  and then compare it with a finite difference approximation of the shape derivative.

$$
\delta J(FD) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{J - J_{prev}}{\epsilon}, \qquad \zeta = \frac{|\delta J(FD) - \delta J(exact)|}{\epsilon}.
$$



 $\rightarrow$   $\equiv$   $\rightarrow$ 

Jeremy Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)



(a) FE Degree  $= 1$  (b) FE Degree  $= 2$  (c) FE Degree  $= 3$ 

$$
u(x,y) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} x^4 + \frac{1}{\beta} y^4 \right), \quad J(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dA - \lambda (|\Omega| - A_0),
$$
  

$$
\delta J(\Omega; \mathbf{V}) = \int_{\partial \Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda) \mathbf{V} \cdot \nu dS.
$$

 $\leftarrow$ 

 $\equiv$  990

メモドメ 周り

Jeremy T. Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)

### Error of Cost of Superellipse



- **NGSolve uses an isoparametric mapping, which does not work** directly with our shape derivative formula for higher order finite element methods.
- NGSolve can use a subdivision to compute integrals instead of the isopoarametric mapping.

an Advisor: Shawn W. Walker Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT) [Shape Optimization with Unfitted Finite Element Methods](#page-0-0)

## <span id="page-41-0"></span>Conclusion

### Highlights:

- We obtain exact shape derivative of discrete bulk functionals in unfitted finite element methods with a level set framework.
- $\blacksquare$  Easily works within level set framework.

### Extensions:

**Boundary functionals** 





Jeremy T. Shahan Advisor: Shawn W. Walker

Louisiana State University Department of Mathematics and Center for Computation and Technology (CCT)